Ecology Article  
Mechanistic population matrix model for ectothermic organisms in disturbance prone seasonal environments  
Authors: Angelika L. Kurthen1, Theodore Kennedy2, David A. Lytle1  
1 Department of Integrative Biology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR

2 Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center, USGS, Flagstaff, AZ   
Corresponding author: Angelika Kurthen, kurthena@oregonstate.edu  
Open Research statement  
Key words/phrases: population, ecology, matrix modeling,

Abstract (350 words)

Introduction

In ecological contexts, experimentation in the field can be prohibitive, due to replication issues, timescales beyond the lives of humans, and the inability to reasonably control the experimental treatments. Mathematical modeling has long been viewed as a method evaluate hypotheses which cannot or should not be tested *in situ*, and can help researchers organize thinking and identify uncertainty in current ecological research(Ecological Detective, Power, 1996).

Process-based models, which link species’ underlying biological mechanisms, like survival and fecundity, to environmental conditions, such as disturbance regime and temperature, offer a method to analyzing and understanding disturbance-ecology relationships. Previous mechanistic models that link populations to disturbance regimes have been successful in simulating observed population dynamics, and with increasing access to high performance computers, can be used to predict population changes under a variety of future scenarios (McMullen 2017, Tonkin 2019). Analysis of model outputs can provide important conservation insights related to life stage bottlenecks, keystone species identification, and vital rates, such as survivorship and fecundity, that drive ecosystem-level responses to disturbance regime (Tonkin 2019).

Invertebrates form the food base of many ecosystems, both aquatic, marine, and terrestrial. Their ecology is marked by characteristic features, like the interplay between growth and development in response to temperatures, population-level responses to disturbances, and density dependence (Vannote 1980, Grimm 1989). Vannote and Sweeney (1980) developed the Thermal Equilibrium Hypothesis which posits that biological characteristics, like growth, metabolism, and reproductive potential, are mediated by temperature. For insects in cooler regimes, time spent in larval or sub-adult stages increases, while organisms in warmer regions have a shorter time to maturation (Vannote and Sweeney 1980). This is related to fecundity through body size, as longer time spent maturing results in a larger body size, which in turn leads to higher fecundity (Vannote 1980).

Disturbances, like fire, flood, drought, disease, to name a few, are naturally occurring phenomena in ecosystems. Local populations’ lifecycles are likely adapted to either persist through disturbances, called resistance, or quickly regenerate after disturbances, called resilience (Lytle and Poff, 2004 Lytle (2004)). Variation in disturbance type can impact the population response. Short-term pulse disturbances like floods often cause immediate mortality but may have a rejuvenating effect on the ecosystem. On the other hand, press disturbances often arise slowly and then reach a constant level that is maintained, like sedimentation processes (Lake 2000). Changes in the timing, frequency, and magnitude of disturbances impact population regulation and community structure (Lytle et al 2007). As human activity alters disturbance regimes and novel environments are projected for the future, models can forecast how these changes will impact local populations.

In addition, density dependence is a common ecological phenomenon within populations, especially insects and invertebrates (Brook 2006). As populations density increases, the per capita population growth rate decreases, allowing populations to stabilize at an equilibrium density, known as carrying capacity. This process is caused by limitations in food availability, predation, competition, and disease (Sibly 2002).

We introduce a population matrix model that integrates the unifying themes of thermal equilibrium hypothesis, disturbance response, and density dependence in population ecology for organisms of interest. Applying a population matrix model that can accommodate seasonality, disturbance, and density dependence is a novel approach to link the fields of theoretical ecology to applied ecology. This framework can be used to give a better understanding of how populations respond to variety of interacting environmental forces, and forecast how large-scale shifts in seasonality and disturbance due to climate change or anthropogenic management can impact populations. We hypothesize that we can recreate observable trends in population responses related to the Thermal Equilibrium Hypothesis, disturbance ecology, and density dependence using this approach that incorporates species’ responses to environmental changes.

Methods

Model Structure

Stage-structured population models use matrix multiplication to incrementally step populations forward in time using the notation

in which ***n****i(t)*, the stage-class abundances for species *i* at time *t* are multiplied by ***Ai****(t)*, the transition matrix for that species under certain environmental conditions over time *t*, which results in ***n****i(t+1)*, the stage-class abundances for species *i* in the next timestep (Caswell 2001).

The transition matrix ***Ai****(t)* includes vital rate information for species j with stages classes *i* = 1, 2, 3 is noted below:

in which species-stage specific fecundity rates *Fji*, species-stage specific transition probabilities from stage *i* to stage *i+1*, *Gji*, and the probability of remaining in a specific stage, *Pji* are represented (Table 1). In our model, we limit our matrices to three stages for the sake of simplicity.

*Vital Rate Estimation*

In this model, vital rates are modified in response to temperatures. In insects, the rate of development, or the rate at which an organism reaches maturity, can be represented by *Gji*, as cohorts move from stage to stage towards sexual maturity. Meanwhile, *Pji*, remaining within a stage, can be a proxy for growth via the accumulation of biomass the longer a cohort remains in its larval stage. These rates can vary depending on temperature thresholds, as demonstrated by Vannote (1980, Table S1). Insect cohort emergence is linked to the total number of days above a critical temperature threshold accumulated over the life cycle, using the equation

in which *TempMean* represents the mean temperature in ˚C of the timestep, and *TempCrit* represents the critical temperature threshold, usually 0˚C. Degree days and critical temperature thresholds are determined from the literature. We can estimate how long cohorts remained in their larval stages by a back-looking accounting method. We assume the number of back-looking timesteps it takes to accumulate the threshold number of degree days for new Stage 3 individuals at any timestep is how long that cohort has been in Stage 1 or Stage 2. Based on these numbers, we can calculate body size using growth rates from the literature. Observations have shown that cohorts that remain in larval stages longer have larger body sizes, while cohorts that develop quickly have smaller body sizes (Vannote 1980). Female fecundity of insects is closely linked to body size, we can also use body size estimates to predict cohort-specific fecundity (Roff 1986). Invertebrates with less complex lifecycles do not require such accounting. Instead, stage-specific fecundity is assigned based on assumed size at each stage.

To demonstrate the model ability to recover elements of the thermal equilibrium hypothesis, we recreated parameterized the model for an *Ephemerella subvaria* population in West Branch Brandywine Creek, PA, close to where Vannote (1980) did their primary studies on the thermal equilibrium hypothesis (Table TBD). Biweekly temperatures were summarized from USGS water temperature data between October, 1, 2007 and October 1, 2022 (USGS). We assumed that no disturbance events (flood events) occurred during this time. We scaled larval and pupal stages to minimum and maximum sizes of *E. subvaria* (Sweeney 1981). The data points from Vannote (1980) Figure 7 were calculated using GraphGrabber2.0.

*Disturbance Response*

This model also incorporates disturbance response to pulse and press disturbance events. Pulse disturbances are assumed to cause immediate mortality within the timestep following a negative exponential function

Where *h* and k are shape modifiers for the negative exponential function and *Q* is disturbance magnitude (McMullen 2017, Figure 1, Table 1). Disturbance-adapted ecosystems also often see a brief increase in carrying capacity in response to disturbances, which can be represented by increasing K in proportion to the disturbance magnitude post-disturbance accordingly

In which *K0* represents post-disturbance carrying capacity in individuals at time *t*, *Kpre* represents the pre-disturbance carrying capacity, *Kd* represents maximum carrying capacity after a large disturbance (McMullen 2017). *Qf* is a modifier that describes the relationship between disturbance magnitude and carrying capacity, which is determined by the equation

in which Qmin is the minimum disturbance magnitude to influence *K* and *a* is the half-saturation constant for that relationship (McMullen 2017, Table 1). We also allow *K* to return to *Kb*, which represents baseline *K* in the absence of disturbances following

in which g is a shape parameter that determines the rate at which *K* returns to *Kb* and τ is the number of timesteps since the disturbance (McMullen 2017. Figure 2). *Kb*, although a constant in this model for the sake of simplicity, could fluctuate as a function of varying productivity in the system.

We parameterized the model for a baetid mayfly in Sycamore Creek, AZ after a large flood events on March 17, 1986, as referenced in Grimm (1989, Table S1). This flood event had an instantaneous maximum discharge of 1080.0 cfs and a biweekly mean maximum discharge of 209.9 cfs, since flows dropped down to 35 cfs during that two-week timestep (USGS). Discharge (cfs) was summarized for a biweekly period and the model was run between October 1, 1982 and September 30, 1987, although results are only shown for the 130 days post-disturbance. We scaled the discharge data by dividing the biweekly discharge by the Sycamore Creek bankfull discharge to get disturbance magnitude.

Press disturbances was incorporated into the model as a continuously suppression of population growth. In the example of tail water hydropeaking intensity, we calculated percent eggs surviving hydropeaking as

in which r represents location of oviposition along a cross section of the river, which 0 being the river edge and 1 being the center of the river, and c = 2 (Kennedy et al. 2016). We modify that equation to be a modifier of survival related to hydropeaking index, where a higher hydropeaking index represents a more intense hydropeaking regime (Dibble, 2015). We multiplied *whydro* by (1 – hydropeaking index) to scale for different intensities.

*Density Dependence*

Density dependence is incorporated into the model via fecundity following a logistic relationship

in which stage-specific fecundity is modified by the relationship between total *N* at time *t* and carrying capacity at time *t* (Rogosch 2019).

*Study Organisms*

Invertebrates are a diverse group of organisms representing a wide variety of life history strategies and organismal traits.

Table 1: Summary of model parameters

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | Description |
| t | Timestep |
| Nt | Population abundance at timestep t |
| K | Carrying capacity |
| Kpre | Pre-disturbance K at time t |
| Kd | Maximum post-disturbance K |
| K0 | Post-disturbance K at time t |
| Kb | Baseline K (in the absence of disturbance) |
| Q | Disturbance magnitude |
| Qf | Disturbance magnitude carrying capacity relationship |
| Qmin | Minimum Disturbance magnitude |
| a | Half-saturation constant |
| b | Scaling modifier for disturbance-mortality relationship |
| h | Modifier for disturbance-mortality relationship |
| g | Rate that K returns to baseline K post-disturbance |
| τ | Timesteps post minimum disturbance |
| m | immediate post disturbance mortality |
| Pi | Probability of remaining in Stage *i* |
| Gji | Probability of transitioning from Stage *i* to Stage *j* |
| Fi | Stage *i* Fecundity |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Graphical user interface, application

Description automatically generated


Figure 1: Comparison of different life histories of modeled organisms. A represents mayfly. B represents P. antipodarum, C represent Hydropsyche species. Other abbreviations listed in Table 1.

Figure 2: Graphical relationship between disturbance magnitude, Q (discharge ) and K (carrying capacity) and time post-disturbance (t) for a fast lifecycle insect, like a mayfly following Equations 5, 6, and 7. Discharge is scaled to represent Q divided by bankfull discharge.

Results

Chart, line chart

Description automatically generated

Figure 4: Recreation of Vannote (1980) decreasing size over the course of emergence as temperature in the system increases for E. subvaria. Temperature data is mean biweekly temperature of White Clay Creek between October 1, 2007 and October 1, 2022 (USGS Gauge 01480617). No flood disturbances were included. Data points for Vannote (1980) from GraphGrabber2.0.

Chart

Description automatically generated

Figure 5: Recreation of Grimm (1989) data for Ephemeroptera in Sycamore Creek after a large flood disturbance. A Baetid mayfly population was modelled. Temperature-related growth and development rates were ignored in this example. Biweekly discharge (cfs) was calculated from USGS gauge 09510200.

* Figure 6: Comparison of

population trajectory for a mayfly and a caddis/NZMS with differences in temperature and discharge (maybe use Diamond Creek as an example?)

* Rocky Intertidal Example to show usefulness to a broader context
  + Want to model M. californianus in some useful context (compare ENSO years?) BUT because mussels are space limited, assumed to be close to K pretty much either way – the question would then be about total biomass or productivity – how to ask and answer these questions and how to model?

Discussion:

* This will hopefully add evidence of mechanistic models recovering general ecological hypotheses
* Theoretical v management applications
  + Difference in density dependence assumptions (a theoretical application)
  + Forecasting population response under different novel environments in the face of climate change (a management application)
* Future Steps
  + Using this to test hypotheses about the Natural Flow Regime
  + Using model to looking at how climate change could impact populations
  + Optimization of dam releases
  + Make multispecies

References

Brook, B.W., & Bradshaw, C.J.A. 2006. "Strength of evidence for density dependence in abundance time series of 1198 species." *Ecology* 87 (6):114501451. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1890>.

Carson, H. S., Cook, G. S., Paloa, LD. C., Levin, L.A. 2011. "Evaluating the importance of demographic connectivity in a marine metapopulation." *Ecology* 92 (10):1972-1984. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0488.1>.

Caswell, H. . 2001. *Matrix Population Models*. 2nd ed. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.

Degrange, C. 1960. "Recherches sur la reproduction des Ephemeropteres." *Travaux du Laboratorie D'Hydrobiologie et de Pisciculture de L'Universite de Grenoble* 50/51:7-193.

Grimm, N. B., Fisher, S. G. 1989. "Stability of Periphyton and Macroinvertebrates to Disturbance by Flash Floods in a Desert Stream." *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 8 (4):293-307. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1467493>.

Lytle, D.A., & Poff, N.L. 2004. "Adaptation to Natural Flow Regimes." *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 19 (2):94-100. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2003.10.002.

McKenzie, V. J., Hall, W. E., and Guralnick, R. P. . 2013. "New Zealand mudsnails (Potamopyrgus antipodarum) in Boulder Creek, Colorado: environmental factors associated with fecundity of a parthenogenic invader." *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 91 (1). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjz-2012-0183>.

McMullen, L. E., De Leenheer, P., Tonkin, J. D., Lytle, D. A. . 2017. "High mortality and enhanced recovery: modelling the countervailing effects of disturbance on population dynamics." *Ecology Letters* 20 (12):1566-1575. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12866>.

McPeek, M. A., and Peckarsky, B. L. . 1998. "Life histories and the strengths of species interactions: combining mortality, growth, and fecundity effects." *Ecology* 79 (3):867-879. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[0867:LHATSO]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079%5b0867:LHATSO%5d2.0.CO;2).

Roff, D. A. 1986. "Predicting Body Size with Life History Models." *BioScience* 36 (5):316-323. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1310236>

Rogosch, J. S., Tonkin, J. D., Lytle, D. A., Merritt, D. M., Reynolds, L. V., Olden, J. D. 2019. "Increasing drought favors nonnative fishes in a dryland river: evidence from a multipspecies demographic model." *Ecosphere* 10 (4):e02681. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2681>.

Sibly, R. M., & Hone, J. 2002. "Population growth rate and its determinants: an overview." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 357:1153–1170. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2002.1117.

Sweeney, B. W., and Vannote, R. L. 1981. "Ephemerella Mayflies of White Clay Creek: Bioenergetic and Ecological Relationships Among Six Coexisting Species " *Ecology* 62 (5):1353-1369. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1937299>

Sweeney, B. W., Funk, D. H., Camp, A. A., Buchwalter, D. B, Jackson, J. K. 2018. "Why adult mayflies of Cloeon dipterum (Ephemeroptera:Baetidae) become smaller as temperature warms." *Freshwater Science* 37 (1):64-81. doi: 10.1086/696611.

Tonkin, J. D., Poff, N. L., Bond, N. R., Horne, A., Merritt, D. M., Reynolds, L. V., Olden, J. D., Ruhí, A., Lytle, D. A. 2019. "Prepare river ecosystems for an uncertain future." *Nature* 570:301-303. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-01877-1>.

USGS. "USGS 09510200 SYCAMORE CREEK NEAR FORT MCDOWELL, AZ." accessed 11/16/2022. <https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/inventory?site_no=09510200&agency_cd=USGS>.

USGS. "West Branch Brandywine Creek at Modena, PA." accessed 11/16/2022. <https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/uv?site_no=01480617&legacy=1>.

Vannote, R.L and Sweeney B. W. 1980. "Geographic analysis of thermal equilibria: a conceptual model for evaluating the effect of natural and modifered thermal regimes on aquatic insect communities." *The American Naturalist* 115 (5):667-695. doi: 10.1086/283591.

Willis, Jr., L. D., and Hendricks, A. C. 1992. "Life History, Growth, Survivorship, and Production of Hydropsyche slossonae in MillCreek, Virginia." *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 11 (3):290-303. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1467649>

Supplemental Material

Table S1: Parameter values of species of interest

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Parameter | Values | Baetid Mayfly | *Hydropsyche* spp. | New Zealand Mudsnail | *M. californianus* |
| t | 2 weeks |  |  |  |  |
| Kd | 40000 |  |  |  |  |
| Kb | 10000 |  |  |  |  |
| Qmin | 40000 |  |  |  |  |
| a | 3000 |  |  |  |  |
| h | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| b | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| g | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| P1 |  |  | 0.7c | 0.4d |  |
| G1 |  |  | 0.1c | 0.1d |  |
| P2 |  |  | 0 | 0.5d |  |
| G2 |  |  | 0.445c | 0.1d |  |
| P3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.8d |  |
| F1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| F2 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| F3 |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: a (Sweeney 2018), based on data regarding maximum and minimum temperatures for growth

b (Vannote 1980 )

c (Willis 1992), based on data about survival over time and scaling fecundity to time spent in larval and pupal stages

d (Cross 2011), estimates calculated from data regarding growth and survival rates

e (McKenzie 2013)

f (Carson 2011)

g (McPeek 1998), based on daily mortality rates

h (Degrange 1960), model parameter pulled form normal distribution

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Parameter | Values | Vannote and Sweeney Recreation | Grimm & Fisher (1998) Recreation |  |
| t | 2 weeks |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Kd | 40000 |  |  |  |
| Kb | 10000 |  | 150 |  |
| Qmin | 40000 |  | 11.25 |  |
| a | 3000 |  | 0.0075 |  |
| h |  |  |  |  |
| b | 1.221403 |  | 0.23g |  |
| g | 0.1 |  | 0.25g |  |
| P1 |  |  | 0.23g |  |
| G1 |  |  | 0.25g |  |
| P2 |  |  | 0 |  |
| G2 |  |  | 0 |  |
| P3 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| F1 |  | 0 | 1104.5h |  |
| F2 |  | 0 |  |  |
| F3 |  | (59 \* size) + 143b |  |  |

Notess:

a Temperature relationship was assumed to be the same as a Baetid mayfly.

b Sweeney 1981